arXiv:1106.4343v2 [math.RT] 19 Oct 2011

GLOBAL QUANTUM DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON QUANTUM FLAG MANIFOLDS, THEOREMS OF DUFLO AND KOSTANT.

ERIK BACKELIN AND KOBI KREMNIZER

Abstract. We give a short new proof for the theorem that global sections of the sheaf of quantum differential operators on a quantum flag manifold are given by the quantum group. As corollaries we retrieve Joseph and Letzter's quantum versions of classical enveloping algebra theorems of Duflo and Kostant. We also describe the center of the ad-integrable part of the quantum group and the adjoint Lie algebra action on it.

1. Introduction.

1.1. **Summary.** In [BK06, BK08, BK11] we developed a localization theory for quantum groups, that is, a theory of quantum $\mathcal{D}$-modules on quantum flag manifolds, for the purpose of attaining a better understanding of their representation theory. For the sheaf of quantum differential operators we computed the global sections and showed that its higher cohomologies vanish. Here we give a simple new proof for these facts, see Theorem 2.1. It is based on known facts about differential operators on classical complex flag manifolds and properties of the induction functor. We first establish the theorem for a generic quantum parameter and then extend to the general case by means of an integral form.

Contrary to our previous proof this one is independent of the results of Joseph and Letzter in [JL92, JL94]. In fact, as corollaries of Theorem 2.1 we reprove - and at a root of unity give the first complete proof for - their main results: A quantum version of Kostant's separation of variables theorem, [K63], and a quantum Duflo formula for Verma module annihilators. These are basic results about the structure of the quantum group that are are essential in quantum localization theory as their classical counterparts are essential in Beilinson-Bernstein localization theory for Lie algebras.

We also describe the center of the *ad-integrable* part of the quantum group (i.e. the maximal subalgebra on which the adjoint action is integrable) in terms of the algebra of functions on a semi-simple group, see Section 3.2. Our results here extend those of [CKP92] for the usual quantum group. We shall use them in a future paper about localization theory at a root of unity, where it is natural to consider both the (ad-integrable) quantum group and quantum differential operators as sheaves over their centers.

We also believe that our method will have other interesting applications; such as in studying quantized multiplicative quiver varieties [J10].

1.2. **Preliminaries.** We shall use the notations of [BK11], where more detailed background material can also be found. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semi-simple Lie algebra, $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the maximal Coxeter number of the simple factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ and fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. In fact, semi-simplicity is assumed just to simplify notations; our results readily generalize to a reductive $\mathfrak{g}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14-A22, 17B37, 53B32.

We have the De Concini-Kac' quantum group $\mathrm{U}_q := \mathrm{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and Lusztig's integral form $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}} := \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (that contains divided powers). Let $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the weight lattice and let Λ_+ be the positive weights. We use simply connected versions; thus the toral part of U_q is the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\Lambda$. Let $\Lambda \ni \gamma \mapsto K_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\Lambda$ denote the canonical embedding. Let $T_\Lambda := \operatorname{Maxspec} \mathbb{C}\Lambda$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$ there is the dual Weyl module $\mathrm{H}^0_q(\lambda)$ for U_q.

Let $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ be a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and its unipotent radical, such that $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, and let $\mathrm{U}_q(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\mathrm{U}_q(\mathfrak{n})$ be their quantizations. $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ is the opposite Borel and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ its unipotent radical. We let $\mathcal{O}_q := \mathcal{O}_q(G)$ be the finite dual of $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_q(B)$ be the dual of $\mathrm{U}_q(\mathfrak{b})$ which is a quotient Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{O}_q$. $\mathcal{O}_q$ comes with a natural right action of $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}$ which integrates to a G_q-action (we abbreviate right G_q-action for a left $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$-coaction). A G_q-action restricts to a B_q-action.

U_q contains a maximal subalgebra $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}$ on which the right adjoint action ad of $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}$ integrates to a G_q-action. Let $\mathrm{U}_q^\lambda := \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}} / (\operatorname{Ker} \chi_\lambda)$.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the Weyl group, let Δ be the simple roots and let ω_α be the fundamental weight corresponding to $\alpha \in \Delta$. Let $\Theta \subset \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{C}\Lambda$, be the group generated by the maps

$$\tau_\alpha : K_{\omega_\beta} \mapsto (-1)^{\delta_{\alpha,\beta}} K_{\omega_\beta},$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \Theta \rtimes \mathcal{W}$ be the extended Weyl group. $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}\Lambda$ and we have $\mathbb{C}\Lambda^\Theta = \mathbb{C}2\Lambda$ and $\mathbb{C}\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}} = \mathbb{C}2\Lambda^{\mathcal{W}}$. Here, as always, the $\mathcal{W}$-invariants are taken with respect to the $\bullet$-action. Let $\mathcal{Z}^{HC}$ be the Harish-Chandra center of U_q and $\chi : \mathcal{Z}^{HC} \to \mathbb{C}\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}$ the Harish-Chandra isomorphism. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q := \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}^{HC}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda$ be the corresponding extension of $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}$. We have the embedding $\mathbb{C}\Lambda \to \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q$ given by $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$. Since U_q contains a copy of $\mathbb{C}\Lambda$ there is also an embedding of $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}} \cap \mathbb{C}\Lambda = \mathbb{C}2\Lambda_+$ into $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q$, given by $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$. In fact, $\mathrm{U}_q = \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}2\Lambda_+} \mathbb{C}\Lambda$.

The sheaf of quantum differential operators on the quantum flag manifold is by definition the B_q-equivariant $\mathcal{O}_q$-module $\mathcal{D}_q := \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \widetilde{M}_q$, where $\widetilde{M}_q := \mathrm{U}_q \otimes_{\mathrm{U}_q(\mathfrak{n})} \mathbb{C}$ is a universal Verma module for U_q. The $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{b})$-action on $\widetilde{M}_q$ integrates to a B_q-action. We consider the diagonal B_q-action on $\mathcal{D}_q$. For $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$ there is the Verma module $M_{q,\lambda} := \mathrm{U}_q \otimes_{\mathrm{U}_q(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, where $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ is the one-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{U}_q(\mathfrak{b})$ defined by λ. Put $\mathcal{D}_q^\lambda := \mathcal{O}_q \otimes M_{q,\lambda}$.

$\mathcal{D}_q$ and $\mathcal{D}_q^\lambda$ live in certain categories of quantum $\mathcal{D}$-modules on the quantum flag manifold, see [BK06]. On these the global section functor Γ is the functor $(\ )^{B_q}$ of taking B_q-invariants. There is also the induction functor $\operatorname{Ind} : B_q\text{-mod} \to G_q\text{-mod}$ from B_q-modules to G_q-modules defined by $\operatorname{Ind} M = (\mathcal{O}_q \otimes M)^{B_q}$. For basic facts about Ind we refer to [APW91]. B_q-mod has enough injectives and so there is the derived functor $R\mathrm{Ind}$. We have $R\mathrm{Ind}\, \widetilde{M}_q = R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_q)$ and $R\mathrm{Ind}\, M_{q,\lambda} = R\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_q^\lambda)$.

Let t be a variable and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ localized at all $t - q$, where $q \neq 1$ runs over the roots of unity of order $\leq c_{\mathfrak{g}}$. (E.g. for Borel-Weil-Bott to hold we need to avoid these roots of unity.) Let $\mathbb{C}_q := \mathcal{A}/(t-q)$, so that specialization $t \mapsto q$ is given by the functor $(\ )_q := (\ ) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_q$. The q-forms above admit natural $\mathcal{A}$-forms: $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{res}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$, $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$. (The inclusion $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ gives an embedding $T_\Lambda \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-}alg}(\mathcal{A}\Lambda, \mathcal{A})$ that is used to define $M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$.) Observe that $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is free over $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int}}$.

By [DP92], Section 10, $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ has an exhaustive filtration of finitely generated $\mathcal{A}$-submodules whose associated graded ring is generated by a finite set of skew-commutative variables.

Thus $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int}}$ has such a filtration as well. It follows that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are noetherian and *generically flat* over $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. for any finitely generated module M over one of these rings there exists $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that M_f is free over $\mathcal{A}_f$, see [M90].

2. GLOBAL SECTIONS OF THE SHEAF OF QUANTUM DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON THE QUANTUM FLAG MANIFOLD.

2.1. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$. We shall construct a map $\phi_q : \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q \to \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q}$. The identification $\mathrm{U}_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\Lambda = \widetilde{M_q}$, defines an embedding $\alpha : \mathbb{C}\Lambda \to \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q}$ which gives a right $\mathbb{C}\Lambda$-module structure on $\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q}$. Consider now the composition

$$\epsilon_q : \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}} \xrightarrow{coact.} \mathrm{Ind}\ \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}} \to \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q}.$$

(Note that *coact.* - given by the tensor identity - is an isomorphism.) Thus we get the map $\epsilon_q \otimes \alpha : \mathrm{U}_q \otimes \mathbb{C}\Lambda \to \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q}$, by $u \otimes x \mapsto \epsilon_q(u) \cdot \alpha(x)$. Since G_q acts trivially on $\mathcal{Z}^{HC}$ we have $coact.(z) = 1 \otimes z$, for $z \in \mathcal{Z}^{HC}$. Thus, $\epsilon_q(z) = 1 \otimes \chi(z) = \alpha(\chi(z))$. Thus $\epsilon_q \otimes \alpha$ induces our desired map ϕ_q, by $\phi_q(u \otimes x) = \epsilon_q(u) \cdot \alpha(x)$. One verifies that ϕ_q is an algebra map with respect to the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra structure on $\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q}$ given as follows: We have

$$\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q} = (\mathcal{O}_q \otimes \widetilde{M_q})^{B_q} = \mathrm{End}_{(\mathcal{O}_q, B_q)\text{-mod}}(\mathcal{O}_q \otimes \widetilde{M_q}),$$

where $(\mathcal{O}_q, B_q)$-mod is the category of B_q-equivariant $\mathcal{O}_q(B)$-modules (see [BK06]). The natural algebra structure on $\mathrm{End}_{(\mathcal{O}_q, B_q)\text{-mod}}(\mathcal{O}_q \otimes \widetilde{M_q})$ thus transports to an algebra structure on $\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q}$.

For $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$, we define $\phi_{q,\lambda} : \mathrm{U}_q^\lambda \to \mathrm{Ind}\,M_{q,\lambda}$ to be the composition $\mathrm{U}_q^\lambda \cong \mathrm{Ind}\ \mathrm{U}_q^\lambda \to \mathrm{Ind}\,M_{q,\lambda}$. Note that $\mathrm{Ind}\,M_{q,\lambda} = \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\Lambda} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ and that $\phi_{q,\lambda}$ coincides with the specialization $(\phi_q)_\lambda$ of ϕ_q under this identification.

Note that ϕ_q and $\phi_{q,\lambda}$ have $\mathcal{A}$-forms $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_{\mathcal{A}}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\lambda} : \mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^\lambda \to \mathrm{Ind}\,M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$.

Theorem 2.1. ***i)*** *$\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an isomorphism and $R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_{\mathcal{A}}} = R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\,M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda} = 0$, for all $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$.* ***ii)*** *For all $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, except roots of unity of order $\leq c_{\mathfrak{g}}$, ϕ_q and $\phi_{q,\lambda}$ are isomorphisms; moreover, $R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M_q} = R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\,M_{q,\lambda} = 0$.*

Proof. **a)** *Filtrations on Verma modules.* Decompose $\mathrm{U}_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}) = \oplus_{\mu \in \Lambda_+} \mathrm{U}_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})^{-\mu}$ into weight spaces under the adjoint action of $\mathbb{C}\Lambda$. Let $m_\mu = \dim \mathrm{U}_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})^{-\mu}$. Consider a vector space basis $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots$ of $\mathrm{U}_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})$ with the property that v_i is a weight vector of weight $-\mu_i$ and $\mu_i > \mu_j \implies i > j$. Define a filtration on $\mathrm{U}_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})$ by

$$F_i := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_i\}.$$

For any $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$ we have the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{U}_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}) \cong M_{q,\lambda}$; this way F_i transports to a filtration $F_{i,\lambda}$ on $M_{q,\lambda}$ which is B_q-stable. Also, by identifying $\mathrm{U}_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\Lambda = \widetilde{M_q}$ we get a filtration $\widetilde{F_i} := F_i \otimes Id_{\mathbb{C}\Lambda}$ on $\widetilde{M_q}$ which is B_q-stable. We have

$$\mathrm{gr}\, M_{q,\lambda} \cong \oplus_{\mu \in \Lambda_+} \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}^{m_\mu},\ \mathrm{gr}\, \widetilde{M_q} \cong (\oplus_{\mu \in \Lambda_+} \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}^{m_\mu}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\Lambda,$$

where $\mathbb{C}_\mu$ is the one dimensional B_q-module with weight μ. By Kempf vanishing and Borel-Weil-Bott (see [APW91]) we have $\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathbb{C}_{-\mu}^{m_\mu} = \mathrm{H}_q^0(\mu)^{m_\mu}$ and $R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathbb{C}_{-\mu}^{m_\mu} = 0$, for $\mu \in \Lambda_+$. Thus, $R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\ \mathrm{gr}\,\widetilde{M_q} = R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\ \mathrm{gr}\,M_{q,\lambda} = 0$. Thus, by induction, $R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\,F_{i,\lambda} = R^{>0}\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{F_i} =$

0, for all i. Since RInd commutes with direct limits the stipulated vanishing of $R^{>0}$Ind in **i)** follows. We also conclude that

$$\mathrm{gr\ Ind}\, M_{q,\lambda} = \mathrm{Ind\ gr}\ M_{q,\lambda} = \oplus_{\mu\in\Lambda_+} \mathrm{H}^0(\mu)^{m_\mu}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathrm{gr\ Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_q = \mathrm{Ind\ gr}\ \widetilde{M}_q = (\oplus_{\mu\in\Lambda_+} \mathrm{H}^0(\mu)^{m_\mu}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\Lambda.$$

Repeating and replacing q by $\mathcal{A}$ we get $\mathcal{A}$-forms of these filtrations and we see that the $R^{>0}$Ind-vanishing in **ii)** as well as an $\mathcal{A}$-version of 2.1 hold.

b) *$\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ are injective for all $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$.* Note that $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ are $\mathcal{A}$-free; thus $\mathrm{Ker}\,\phi_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathrm{Ind}\,M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ are $\mathcal{A}$-free as well. By classical Beilinson-Bernstein localization ϕ_1 is an isomorphism , see e.g. [Mi]. For $u \in \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote by $\overline{u}$ its image in $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_1$. Assume $u \in \mathrm{Ker}\,\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$; then $\phi_1(\overline{u}) = 0$ so that $\overline{u} = 0$ and thus $u = u'(t-1)$, for some $u' \in \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus $(t-1)\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(u') = 0$ and hence $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(u') = 0$. Thus $\overline{u'} = 0$ and so $u' = u''(t-1)$, etc. This shows that u is divisible by any power of $(t-1)$. Thus $u = 0$, since $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is free. Hence $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is injective.

$\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is countably generated over $\mathbb{C}\Lambda$, so we can find an exhaustive filtration $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \ldots$ of finitely generated $\mathbb{C}\Lambda$-submodules of $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\phi_i := \phi_{\mathcal{A}}|_{L_i} : L_i \to \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$, $L_{i,\lambda} := L_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\Lambda} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, for $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$, and

$$X_i := \{\lambda \in T_\Lambda; (\phi_i)_\lambda : L_{i,\lambda} \to \mathrm{Ind}\,M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda} \text{ is injective.}\}.$$

The injectivity of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ implies that each X_i is dense and Zariski open in T_Λ. Thus, e.g. by Baire's category theorem, there is a $\lambda_0 \in \cap_{i\geq 1} X_i$. It follows that $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\lambda_0}$ is injective.

For any $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$ pull back the filtration $F_{i,\lambda}$ on $\mathrm{Ind}\,M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ from the previous section to $\mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$, by $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$. Observe that the resulting associated graded maps $\mathrm{gr}\,\phi_\lambda$ do not depend on λ and that $\mathrm{gr}\,\phi_{\lambda_0}$ is injective. Thus $\mathrm{gr}\,\phi_\lambda$ and hence ϕ_λ are injective, for all $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$.

Thus $\mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $\mathrm{Ind}\,M_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ and hence $\mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-free, for $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$.

c) *$C_{\mathcal{A}} :=$ Coker $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{A},\lambda} :=$ Coker $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ are finitely generated over $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$.* The left $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$-module structure on $\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $B_{\mathcal{A}}$-equivariant and induces therefore a $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$-module structure on $\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ by the composition $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathrm{Ind}\ \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathit{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$-linear.

To show that $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a finitely generated $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$-module it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is dito. Using that $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is noetherian it is easy to inductively construct a (possibly infinite) resolution $F_\bullet \to \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the category of $B_{\mathcal{A}}$-equivariant $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$-modules, where $F_i = \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes V_i$ and V_i is a $B_{\mathcal{A}}$-module which is free of finite rank over $\mathcal{A}$.

We have $R\mathrm{Ind}\ \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes V_i = \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes R\mathrm{Ind}\,V_i$, by the tensor identity, and each $R^j\mathrm{Ind}\,V_i$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{A}$-module. Take injective resolutions of each F_i and apply Ind to the corresponding double complex. This gives a spectral sequence whose E_2-terms are given by $E^2_{p,q} = \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes R^p\mathrm{Ind}\,V_q$. Moreover, since Ind has finite cohomological dimension there are only finitely many non-zero diagonal $E^2_{p,p}$-terms. Since the $E^\infty_{p,p}$-terms occur as gr of a filtration on $\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ we conclude that $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finitely generated over $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The proof for $C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ is the same.

d) *$C_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ are $\mathcal{A}$-flat.* Observe that the map $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ can be obtained as follows: Let $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the surjection, $u \otimes x \mapsto \overline{u} \cdot x$, where $\overline{u}$ denotes the image of $u \in \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}\Lambda$. Then $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and under this identification we have $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{Ind}\,\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$. Since, $R^1\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ we have $C_{\mathcal{A}} \cong R^1\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_{\mathcal{A}})$.

The fact that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-free implies that $R^j\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_{\mathcal{A}})$ is $\mathcal{A}$-flat, for all j. Because we may filter $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ by $B_{\mathcal{A}}$-submodules K_i which are $\mathcal{A}$-free of finite rank. Then $R^j\mathrm{Ind}(K_i)$ is free over $\mathcal{A}$, as follows from an induction that reduces to the case that $\dim_{\mathcal{A}}(K_i) = 1$ and there uses Borel-Weil-Bott, see e.g. [APW91]. Since $R\mathrm{Ind}$ commutes with direct limits and the direct limit of free $\mathcal{A}$-modules is flat we see that $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-flat. $C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ is the same thing.

e) *We show that* $C_{\mathcal{A}} = C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda} = 0$. Let $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$. We first prove that $\phi_{q,\lambda}$ is an isomorphism when q is generic or $q = 1$. We consider $\mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ as a $G_{\mathcal{A}}$-module for the adjoint action. Since, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathcal{A}}(\mu)$ is $\mathcal{A}$-free of finite rank and $\mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-free and $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathcal{A}}(\mu) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_q = \mathrm{H}^0_q(\mu)$, for $\mu \in \Lambda_+$, Lemma 2.2 gives isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathcal{A}}(\mu), \mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}})_q \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{G_q}(\mathrm{H}^0_q(\mu), \mathrm{U}^\lambda_q). \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathcal{A}}(\mu), \mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ and hence its submodule $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathcal{A}}(\mu), \mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ are $\mathcal{A}$-free. Thus, 2.2 implies that the numbers

$$n_{q,\lambda,\mu} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{G_q}(\mathrm{H}^0_q(\mu), \mathrm{U}^\lambda_q)$$

are constant in q. By our assumption on q, G_q-mod is semi-simple and the $\mathrm{H}^0_q(\mu)$'s are simple. Thus it follows from 2.1 that $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_q}(\mathrm{H}^0_q(\mu), \mathrm{Ind}M_{q,\lambda}) = m_\mu$ and, by the injectivity of $\phi_{q,\lambda}$, we get $n_{q,\lambda,\mu} \leq m_\mu$. On the other hand, we know from Kostant's classical formula that $n_{1,\lambda,\mu} = m_\mu$. Thus $n_{q,\lambda,\mu} = m_\mu$ and $\phi_{q,\lambda}$ is an isomorphism in this case.

Since, $\mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generically flat over $\mathcal{A}$ and $C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ is finitely generated over $\mathrm{U}^\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$, we can find a non-zero $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the localization $C_{\mathcal{A}_f,\lambda}$ is free over $\mathcal{A}_f$. By the right exactness of the tensor product we have $C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_q \cong \mathrm{Coker}\,\phi_{q,\lambda}$, for $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $q \in \mathrm{Maxspec}\,\mathcal{A}_f$ be generic; we thus get $C_{\mathcal{A}_f,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_f} \mathbb{C}_q \cong \mathrm{Coker}\,\phi_{q,\lambda} = 0$. Thus $C_{\mathcal{A}_f,\lambda} = 0$. The flatness of $C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda}$ gives $C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda} \subseteq C_{\mathcal{A}_f,\lambda}$, so that also $C_{\mathcal{A},\lambda} = 0$.

Since $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finitely generated over the noetherian ring $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ it follows from Lemma 2.3 below that $C_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$. This completes the proof of **i)**; **ii)** follows by specializing $t \mapsto q$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let* V, W *be* $G_{\mathcal{A}}$*-modules such that* V *is* $\mathcal{A}$*-free of finite rank and* W *is* $\mathcal{A}$*-torsion free. Let* $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *be generic or* $q = 1$*. Then the natural map* $Hom_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W)_q \to \mathrm{Hom}_{G_q}(V_q, W_q)$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$- and $G_{\mathcal{A}}$-linear maps between $G_{\mathcal{A}}$-modules, resp., U_q- and G_q-linear maps between G_q-modules (by our assumption on q), are the same. Thus, it suffices to show that the natural map $\Theta : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W)_q \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}_q}(V_q, W_q)$ is an isomorphism. We may furthermore assume that W is finitely generated over $\mathcal{A}$.

For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W)$ denote by $\overline{f}$ its image in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W)_q$. If $\Theta(\overline{f}) = 0$, we have by definition $f(V) \subseteq (t - q)W$. Then, since W is torsion-free, we can define $f' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W)$ by $f' := (t - q)^{-1} f$. Thus $f = (t - q)f'$, so we get $\overline{f} = 0$; hence Θ is injective.

The short exact sequence $W \overset{t-q}{\hookrightarrow} W \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} W_q$ yields an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W) \overset{\pi_*}{\to} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W_q) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W) \overset{t-q}{\to} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W_q).$$

As Θ is induced by π_* we get $\mathrm{Coker}\,\Theta = \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V,W)}(t - q)$. Let $P_\bullet \to V$ be a free resolution of V in $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$-mod. Clearly, $P_\bullet$ splits in $\mathcal{A}$-mod; therefore $(P_\bullet)_q \to V_q$ is a free resolution in U_q-mod and so $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W_q) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{U}_q}(V_q, W_q)$. This group vanishes since the category of finite dimensional U_q-modules is semi-simple. Thus, $t - q$ is surjective - and hence also injective - on $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W)$, since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}}(V, W)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{A}$, since V and W are dito. Hence $\mathrm{Coker}\,\Theta = 0$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a noetherian $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with center $Z = \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]/I$, such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} R$ is countable, and let M be a finitely generated left R-module such that $M/\mathfrak{m}M = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Maxspec}\, Z$. Then $M = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $M \neq 0$. The hypothesis implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ is at most countable. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is uncountable and algebraically closed, Schur's lemma implies there is a $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $t_1 - \lambda_1$ is not invertible on M. Since any surjective endomorphism of a noetherian object must be injective, we conclude that $t_1 - \lambda_1$ is not surjective on M.

Similarly, we find $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $t_2 - \lambda_2$ is not surjective on $M/(t_1 - \lambda_1)M$, i.e. $M \neq (t_1 - \lambda_1, t_2 - \lambda_2)M$. Continuing like this we find $\lambda_1 \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that putting $\mathfrak{m} := (t_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, t_n - \lambda_n)$ we have $M \neq \mathfrak{m}M$. This gives the desired contradiction. □

3. KOSTANT'S SEPARATION OF VARIABLES, DUFLO'S FORMULA AND THE STRUCTURE OF THE CENTER OF $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{INT}}$.

3.1. The following quantum version of a classic result due to Kostant, [K63], was originally proved by Joseph and Letzter, [JL94], for a generic q. A nice proof that used Kashiwara's crystal bases was later given in [B00], also that in the generic case. The proof given here works for all q in $\mathbb{C}^*$ except roots of unity of order $\leq c_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Corollary 3.1. ***i)*** *(Separation of variables.) There is a filtration of the form $L_i \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{HC}$ on U_q^{int} for some G_q-submodules $L_i \subset \mathrm{U}_q^{int}$ and a subspace $\mathcal{H}_q \subset \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{U}_q^{int}$ such that multiplication gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_q \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{HC} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{U}_q^{int}$. In particular, U_q^{int} is free over $\mathcal{Z}^{HC}$.* ***ii)*** *$\mathcal{H}_q$ is a direct sum of $\mathrm{H}_q^0(\lambda)$'s. The multiplicity of $\mathrm{H}_q^0(\lambda)$ in this sum equals the dimension of the 0'th weight space in $\mathrm{H}_q^0(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Recall the filtration $\widetilde{F}_i = F_i \otimes Id_{\mathbb{C}\Lambda}$ on $\widetilde{M}_q$. By 2.1 and Theorem 2.1 we have $\mathrm{gr}\ \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q = \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_q = (\oplus_{\mu \in \Lambda_+} \mathrm{H}_q^0(\mu)^{m_\mu}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\Lambda$. We can define $\mathcal{H}_q = \phi_q^{-1}(\oplus_{\mu \in \Lambda_+} \mathrm{H}_q^0(\mu)^{m_\mu})$ and we let $L_i \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{HC} = \widetilde{F}_i^{\mathcal{W}}$. This proves **i)**. An application of Weyl's character formula shows that $m_\lambda = \dim \mathrm{H}_q^0(\lambda)^0$, which proves **ii).** □

Note that for q generic we have $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}} \cong \mathrm{gr}\,\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}$ as G_q-modules, and we may replace the statement of **i)** by "$\mathcal{H}_q \subset \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}$ is a G_q-submodule such that $\mathcal{H}_q \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{HC} \cong \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}$". This is how [JL94, B00] and classically [K63] stated the result.

Corollary 3.2. *(Duflo's formula.) Assume that q is generic and let $\lambda \in T_\Lambda$. Then U_q^λ acts faithfully on $M_{q,\lambda}$.*

Proof. Let $J = \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathrm{U}_q^\lambda} M_{q,\lambda}$. Observe that J is a two-sided ideal in U_q^λ. Since q is generic we have $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}} = \mathrm{U}_q$ so that J is actually stable under $ad_r(\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}})$. Let $u \in J$. We have $coact.(u) = u_1 \otimes u_2 \in \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \mathrm{U}_q^\lambda$, where $\langle u_1, v \rangle u_2 = ad_r(v)(u)$, for all $v \in \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}$. Here $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the pairing between $\mathcal{O}_q$ and $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}$. We have $\phi_{q,\lambda}(u) = u_1 \otimes \overline{u}_2$ where $\overline{u}_2$ is the image of u_2 in $M_{q,\lambda}$. Thus, $\phi_{q,\lambda}(u) = 0$ and since $\phi_{q,\lambda}$ is injective we conclude that $u = 0$. □

Remark 3.3. Here is Duflo's formula for q an l'th root of unity: For *unramified* $\mathfrak{m}_\tau \in \mathrm{Maxspec}\,\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}})$ it is known that $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\tau) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}(M_\tau)$, where M_τ is the corresponding baby Verma module (see [BG01]). From this one deduces that

$$\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}}(M_\lambda) = \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}} \cdot \{\mathrm{Ker}\,\chi_\lambda, E_\alpha^l, K_{l\mu} - \lambda(K_{l\mu}), \alpha \in \Delta, \mu \in \Lambda\}.$$

3.2. **Centers.** Let q be an odd l'th root of unity. Let $\mathfrak{u}_q \subset \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}$ be Lusztig's small quantum group and let $V^{\mathfrak{u}_q}$ be the set of $\mathfrak{u}_q$-invariants in a $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}$-module V. Since $\mathfrak{u}_q$ is the algebra kernel of the quantum Frobenius map $Fr : \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}} \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ we see that $V^{\mathfrak{u}_q}$ has a $\mathfrak{g}$-action.

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{U}_q)$ be the center of U_q; then $\mathcal{Z} = \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathfrak{u}_q}$ and, hence, $\mathcal{Z}$ has a $\mathfrak{g}$-action, again denoted ad (which is trivial on $\mathcal{Z}^{HC}$). Let

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(l)} := \mathbb{C}\langle E_\alpha^l, F_\alpha^l, K_{l\gamma}; \alpha \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Lambda\rangle \subset \mathcal{Z}$$

be the l-center of U_q and put $\mathcal{Z}_0^{(l)} := \mathbb{C}\langle E_\alpha^l, F_\alpha^l, K_{l\gamma}; \alpha \in \Delta, \gamma \in 2\Lambda\rangle \subset \mathcal{Z}^{(l)}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_0^{(l)}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{(l)}$ are $\mathfrak{g}$-module subalgebras of $\mathcal{Z}$ and, moreover, $\mathcal{Z}^{(l)}$ is free of rank $2^{\operatorname{rank}\mathfrak{g}}$ over $\mathcal{Z}_0^{(l)}$ with basis $J := \{K_\gamma; \gamma = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta} \epsilon_\alpha l\omega_\alpha,\ \epsilon_\alpha \in \{0,1\}\}$. Let $G_0 \subset G$ be the open Bruhat cell and let $T \subset G_0$ be the torus. Consider the adjoint $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathcal{O}(G_0)$. We have

Proposition 3.4. *The $\mathfrak{g}$-module algebras $\mathcal{O}(G_0)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_0^{(l)}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. In [CKP92], Theorem 5.5, the commutator action of $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_0^{(l)}$ was explicitly calculated. This action and the adjoint action that we favour are closely related: For each $\alpha \in \Delta$ one constructs $\gamma_\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that $ad_{E_\alpha^{(l)}}(\ ) = K_{\gamma_\alpha}[E_\alpha^{(l)},\ ]$ and similarly for the $F_\alpha^{(l)}{}'s$. The proposition follows from this and the computations in [CKP92]. ☐

Hence we get a $\mathfrak{g}$-module algebra inclusion $\mathcal{O}(G_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{(l)}$; it becomes an equality after taking $\mathfrak{g}$-integrable parts

Proposition 3.5. $\mathcal{O}(G) = \mathcal{O}(G_0)^{int} \cong \mathcal{Z}^{(l)}(\mathrm{U}_q^{int}) := \mathcal{Z}^{(l)} \cap \mathrm{U}_q^{int}$.

Proof. The first equality holds since G_0 is open and dense in G and $ad_G(G_0) = G$. We have the $\mathfrak{g}$-module decomposition $\mathcal{Z}^{(l)} = \oplus_{\gamma\in J}\, \mathcal{O}(G_0)\cdot K_\gamma$. We must show that $(\mathcal{O}(G_0)\cdot K_\gamma)^{\mathrm{int}} \neq 0 \implies \gamma = 0$, for $\gamma \in J$. Let $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}(G_0)$ be such that $f \cdot K_\gamma \in (\mathcal{O}(G_0)\cdot K_\gamma)^{\mathrm{int}}$. By multiplying f with a suitable invertible element of $\mathcal{O}(T)$, we can assume that $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$.

Note that under the isomorphism of Proposition 3.4, $\mathcal{O}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}2l\Lambda$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-module algebras. If $\gamma \neq 0$, we may pick $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $\langle\alpha,\gamma\rangle \neq 0$, and it follows readily that $ad^n(E_\alpha)(f\cdot K_\gamma) \neq 0$, for all $n \geq 0$, which contradicts the integrability of $ad(E_\alpha)$ on $f\cdot K_\gamma$. Hence, $\gamma = 0$. ☐

The composition $G_0 \hookrightarrow G \to G//G = T/\mathcal{W}$ gives the inclusions $\mathcal{Z}^{(l)} \cap \mathcal{Z}^{HC} \cong \mathbb{C}l\Lambda^{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{O}(T)^{\mathcal{W}} \subset \mathcal{O}(G_0) \subset \mathcal{Z}^{(l)}$ and it is known that $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^{(l)} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}^{(l)}\cap\mathcal{Z}^{HC}} \mathcal{Z}^{HC} \cong \mathcal{Z}^{(l)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}l\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}$. Hence Proposition 3.5 gives

Corollary 3.6. $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{U}_q^{int}) = (\mathrm{U}_q^{int})^{\mathfrak{u}_q} \cong \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}l\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}},\ \ \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q) = \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q^{\mathfrak{u}_q} \cong \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}l\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda.$

Next we observe that the isomorphism ϕ_q of Theorem 2.1 restricts to an isomorphism $\phi_q^{\mathfrak{u}_q} : \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}l\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q^{\mathfrak{u}_q} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_q)^{\mathfrak{u}_q}$. The inclusion $\mathcal{O}(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}l\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda$ thus enables us to think of $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q \cong \mathrm{Ind}\, M_q$ as $\mathcal{O}(G)$-modules. By the way, let $\mathfrak{b}_q := \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{res}}(\mathfrak{b}) \cap \mathfrak{u}_q$. Then $(\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_q)^{\mathfrak{u}_q} = \mathrm{Ind}_B^G\, \widetilde{M}_q^{\mathfrak{b}_q}$ and $\widetilde{M}_q^{\mathfrak{b}_q} = \mathrm{Im}\{\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathfrak{u}_q} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{U}_q \to \widetilde{M}_q\} \cong \mathcal{O}(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}2l\Lambda} \mathbb{C}\Lambda$, with the adjoint B-action on $\mathcal{O}(B)$, and $\phi_q^{\mathfrak{u}_q}$ can alternatively be described as the composition

$$\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}l\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda \cong (\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}l\Lambda^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}} \mathbb{C}2l\Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}2l\Lambda} \mathbb{C}\Lambda \cong (Ind_B^G\, \mathcal{O}(B)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}2l\Lambda} \mathbb{C}\Lambda \cong$$

$$Ind_B^G\, (\mathcal{O}(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}2l\Lambda} \mathbb{C}\Lambda) \cong (\mathrm{Ind}\,\widetilde{M}_q)^{\mathfrak{u}_q}.$$

The second isomorphism is proved e.g. in [S82]. We finnish with

Proposition 3.7. U_q^{int} *is a free* $\mathcal{O}(G)$*-module of rank* $l^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q \cong \mathrm{Ind}\, \widetilde{M}_q$ *are free* $\mathcal{O}(G)$*-modules of rank* $|\tilde{\mathcal{W}}| \cdot l^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof. Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_q$ is free over $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}$ of rank $|\tilde{\mathcal{W}}|$ it suffices to prove the first assertion. By [DP92], U_q is free over $\mathcal{Z}^{(l)}(\mathrm{U}_q)$ of rank $l^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$. Let η be the composition $\mathrm{Maxspec}\, \mathcal{Z}^{(l)} \to G_0 \hookrightarrow G = \mathrm{Maxspec}\, \mathcal{Z}^{(l)}(\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}})$. It is clear that for $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Im}\, \eta = G_0$ we have $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}/(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \mathrm{U}_q/(\mathfrak{m})$ and hence $\dim \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}/(\mathfrak{m}) = l^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$. Since any $\mathfrak{m} \in G$ can be moved into G_0 by the adjoint G-action and $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}$ is a G_q-equivariant $\mathcal{O}(G)$-module, it follows that $\dim \mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}/(\mathfrak{m}) = l^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$, for all $\mathfrak{m} \in G$. Since $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is reduced this implies that $\mathrm{U}_q^{\mathrm{int}}$ is projective over $\mathcal{O}(G)$, e.g. [H77]. Since projective $\mathcal{O}(G)$-modules of rank $> \dim \mathfrak{g}$ are free, see [BG02, MR88], we are done. □

References

[APW91] H. H. Andersen, P. Polo and W. Kexin, *Representations of quantum algebras*, Invent. Math. 104, (1991) 1-59.

[BK06] E. Backelin and K. Kremnizer, *Quantum flag varieties, equivariant D-modules and localization of quantum groups*, Adv in Math, Volume 203, Issue 2, (2006), 408-429.

[BK08] E. Backelin and K. Kremnizer, *Localization of a quantum group at a root of unity*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (2008), 1001-1018.

[BK11] E. Backelin and K. Kremnizer, *Singular localization for Quantum groups at generic q*, http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1102.4209

[B00] P. Baumann, *Another proof of Joseph and Letzter's separation of variables theorem for quantum groups*, Transformation groups, Vol 5, no 1, (2000), 3-20.

[BG01] K. A. Brown, I. Gordon, *The ramification of centres: Lie algebras in positive characteristic and quantized enveloping algebras*, Math. Z. 238 (2001), 733179.

[BG02] K. A. Brown and I. Gordon, *The ramifications of the centres: quantised function algebras at roots of unity*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 84 (2002), no. 1, 147178.

[DP92] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *Quantum groups*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1565, (1992), 31-140.

[CKP92] C. De Concini, V. Kac, C. Procesi, *Quantum coadjoint action*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 5, No. 1 (Jan., 1992), pp. 151-189.

[H77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer, (1977).

[J10] D. Jordan, *Quantized multiplicative quiver varieties*, http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1010.4076 (2010).

[JL92] A. Joseph and G. Letzter, *Local finiteness for the adjoint action for quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra 153, (1992), 289-318.

[JL94] A. Joseph and G. Letzter, *Separation of variables for quantized enveloping algebras*, Amer. J. Math. 116, (1994), 127-177.

[K63] B. Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963), 327174.

[M90] J.C. McConnell, *Quantum groups, filtered rings and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*, Noncommutative ring theory (Athens, OH, 1989), 139177, Lecture Notes in Math., 1448, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[MR88] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson. *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, J. Wiley and Sons, 1988.

[Mi] D. Milicić, *Localization and Representation Theory of Reductive Lie Groups*, available at http://www.math.utah.edu/milicic.

[S82] P. Slodowy, *Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 815. Springer, Berlin, 1980. x+175 pp.

Erik Backelin, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de los Andes, Carrera 1 N. 18A - 10, Bogotá, Colombia

E-mail address: `erbackel@uniandes.edu.co`

Kobi Kremnitzer, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, 2417 St Giles' Oxford OX1 3LB, UK

E-mail address: `kremnitzer@maths.ox.ac.uk`